



Autonomous (Affiliated to University of Mumbai) Re-accredited A Grade by NAAC


Students feedback on Curriculum 2022-2023

The curriculum aspects are rated on the scale of 1 to 5

1. Below Average 2. Average 3. Good 4. Very Good 5. Excellent

Number of Students responded: 291

Q.	Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	Weighted
No.							Avg
1	Do you think syllabus is appropriate and suits your need?	4.83	21.38	29.31	22.41	22.07	3.36
2	Relevance of units in Syllabus	4.14	21.72	29.66	24.14	20.34	3.35
3	Level of difficulty of the syllabus	3.45	20.34	29.66	26.21	19.66	3.36
4	Relevance of the objectives stated for each of the course	3.79	18.28	32.41	24.14	20.69	3.38
5	Outcomes of the course	4.48	17.59	30.69	23.10	24.14	3.45
6	Distribution of theory and Lab work/tutorial	5.52	17.93	31.72	21.72	22.07	3.34
7	Relevance of the syllabus to the present scenario	5.52	18.62	32.07	20.00	22.76	3.33
8	Skill and knowledge acquired through the syllabus	4.83	16.90	30.34	21.03	25.86	3.43
9	Relevance of syllabus to acquired job opportunities/Entrepreneurship	6.90	18.28	33.45	21.38	19.31	3.26
10	Role of syllabus in building the career	6.55	16.90	30.69	24.48	20.69	3.34
Final score							3.36



VS Rukaeni.

Dr. Vanita Kulkarni

Convenor: feedback Committee

Dr. Pradnya Prabhu Principal









Autonomous (Affiliated to University of Mumbai) Re-accredited A Grade by NAAC

Alumni feedback Analysis on Curriculum 2022-2023

Department: All

Number of Alumni responded: 477

The curriculum aspects are rated on the scale of 1 to 5

1. Below Average 2. Average 3. Good 4. Very Good 5. Excellent

Que. No	Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	Weighted Avg
1	Relevance of the courses in relation to the program	3.56	11.11	29.14	24.27	31.87	3.70
2	Sequence of courses in your offered program	3.56	9.01	30.40	25.52	31.45	3.72
3	Sequence of the topics in the units of your courses	3.98	9.85	29.35	25.10	31.66	3.70
4	The competencies in relation to the course content	3.77	9.64	30.19	26.99	29.35	3.68
5	Offering of the course in relation to the specialization streams	4.40	8.39	29.98	23.22	33.96	3.74
6	Offering of the electives in relation to the Technological advancements	4.61	9.64	28.93	25.52	31.24	3.69
7	The courses which are skills related suiting to the Industry included in the programs.	4.19	9.64	28.09	25.10	32.91	3.73
8	Experimental work / Project work in your program in terms of skill enhancement	3.98	9.64	28.30	26.15	31.87	3.72
9	Experiments / Projects in terms of their relevance to the real life application	4.82	9.01	28.30	24.69	33.12	3.72
	Final score						

VS Rulkaeni.

Dr. Vanita Kulkarni

Convenor: feedback Committee

VIDYAMHAR MUMBA-77. S

PRINCIPAL
K.I. SOMANA COLLEGE OF SCIENCE & COMMERCE
VIDYAVIHAR, MUMBAI-77.


Dr. Pradnya Prabhu


Principal






Name of the course completed from this institute 477 responses













Comments:

- It would be better if we get to do project in 3 sem as well as 4 sem of M.Sc. 3.

 The best way to get most out of out two years and understanding chemistry is to give us more tasks and group activities related to the subject. (Presentation, poster making, review article etc.)
- It would be helpful if college could give us an insight into what are the opportunities and where we can find applications of our subject of specialization. As when we step out of college, in spite of giving 100% in our subject we face discrimination based on our specialization. And at times It's quite demotivating. In chemistry mostly organic and analytical students are given more preference in the industry rather than the physical and inorganic. Or else it would be better if we study all the subjects during our final year.
- Python, SQL and any visualization tool like PowerBI of can be added in the curriculum or can be taken as a workshop
- Thanks for your wonderful organization for shaping our future for teaching in our academics. Thank you once again.
- The faculty is doing their job at their best level so please keep them motivated by whatever means possible.
- The curriculum is very beneficial. Syllabus should have been updated.
- Carry some financial oriented workshop more systematic approach to be followed.
- It should be more practical and less theoretical More practical aspect should have more field visits
- Provide College Placement or job assistance to students More interactive sessions needed field visits needed Curriculum was upto the point and really useful for future Increase job Availability for the students of the college itself
- Any Positive Approach and Little help matters to Most of the Students. More equipment into geology lab, and more field trip should be there
- It should be more practical oriented and link with Industries requirements.
- Lab advancement is necessity and exposure to programming language must increaseThanks for adding elective subjects like IAPM IT, Taxation to get a diversified exposure. The faculty is doing their job at their best level so please keep them motivated by whatever means possible

VS Rulkarni

Dr. Vanita Kulkarni Convenor: feedback Committee

PRINCIPAL

K.I. SONAMA COLLEGE OF SCIENCE & COMMERC
VIDENATIAR, MUMBAI-77. (6)

Dr. Pradnya Prabhu Principal

mohy





Autonomous (Affiliated to University of Mumbai) Re-accredited A Grade by NAAC


Faculty member feedback on Curriculum 2O22-2O23

The curriculum aspects are rated on the scale of 1 to 5

1. Below Average 2. Average 3. Good 4. Very Good 5. Excellent

Number of Faculty members responded: 62

Experience of Teaching 62 responses



9.7%	 1 to 5 years 5 to 10 years 10 to 15 years 15 to 20 years 20 to 25 years
19.4%	25 to 30 years> 30 years

Q.	Criteria	1	2	3	4	5	Weighted
No.							Avg
1	Curriculum of the paper/module you teach is well framed with clearly defined objectives and outcomes	0.00	0.00	3.23	37.10	59.68	4.56
2	Skill development / Employability is given weightage in curriculum design and development	0.02	0.03	19.35	35.48	40.32	4.02
3	Freedom is given to adopt new teaching/ learning techniques	0.03	0.02	6.45	27.42	61.29	4.36
4	Adequate duration of class work is made available during semester for completion of syllabus	0.02	0.02	17.74	45.16	33.87	4.03
5	The content of syllabus is challenging to teach	0.03	0.11	29.03	40.32	16.13	3.29
		l Score	4.05				
















Do you think the 40:60 weightage given to Internal -External evaluation is appropriate 62 responses



According to you which is the best internal assessment marks distribution scheme (Note: Here assignment includes project/ poster/ presentation etc) 62 responses



30 marks (test)+10 marks (assignment)

25 (test) + 15(asssigment)

 20 (test) + 20 (assignment) 40 marks test only

40 marks assigment only

VS Rulkarni

Dr. Vanita Kulkarni **Convenor: feedback Committee**





moher Dr. Pradnya Prabhu **Principa**





Autonomous (Affiliated to University of Mumbai) Re-accredited A Grade by NAAC

Employers feedback Analysis on Curriculum 2022-2023

Department: All

Number of Employers responded: 22

The curriculum aspects are rated on the scale of 1 to 3

1. Needs Amendments 2. Disagree 3. Strongly Agree

	Criteria	1	2	3	Weighted Avg
1	Curriculum is effective in developing innovative thinking.	9.09	0.00	90.91	1.91
2	Curriculum is effective in developing skill oriented human resources.	9.09	4.55	86.36	1.95
3	Curriculum is as per current Industry/ Market needs	13.64	22.73	63.64	2.09
4	The curriculum is effective for the development of entrepreneurs.	18.18	4.55	77.27	1.86
5	How effective is the virtual learning in bridging the gap between quality of curriculum and accomplishing the proficiency at workplace.	4.55	13.64	81.82	2.09
6	The curriculum is competent to go with virtual teaching.	18.18	9.09	72.73	1.91
7	Effectiveness of curriculum for development of entrepreneurship	0.00	9.09	90.91	2.09
8	Curriculum includes human values and ethics.	4.55	9.09	86.36	2.05
9	Incorporates subject skills and other soft skills needed for employment.	0.00	4.55	95.45	2.05
	Final Score				2.00

Comments:

- 1. Quite good
- 2. Need to incorporate industrial training / internship program to develop industry knowledge.

VS Rukaeni.

Dr. Vanita Kulkarni

Convenor: feedback Committee





Bushur

Dr. Pradnya Prabhu Principal